

Table
Demographic characteristics of the samples

	AD-negative	AD-positive	HC-negative
N (males)	9 (5)	26 (12)	30 (14)
Age (mean±SD)	70.6±14.7	72.5±10.9	71.1±11.6
Education (mean±SD)	12.1±5.8	12.5±2.8	14.1±3.7
MMSE (mean±SD)	24.6±3	22.8±4.4	28.8±1
ApoE4 (n / n available)	14%	81%	14%

MMSE than atypical ones (p=0.03, see Table). After the PET scan, clinicians altered the diagnosis in 22 of the 34 cases in which they received results. Diagnosis changed to frontotemporal dementia (FTD, n=8), corticobasal syndrome (CBS, n=4), dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB, n=3), epilepsy-depression (n=1), primary progressive aphasia (n=1), non-fluent aphasia (n=1) and unknown diseases (n=4, see detailed table). Clinicians did not change their clinical diagnosis in 60% of typical patients, but nearly always changed the diagnosis in atypical and aclassical cases (83%, p=0.03). Typical cases were most often reclassified as FTD, atypical as FTD or CBS, and aclassical as DLB or unknown. **Conclusions:** Negative amyloid PET scans impacted clinical diagnosis, particularly in patients with non-amnesic clinical presentations. Amyloid PET negative AD likely represents a mixed population of initially misdiagnosed neurodegenerative or non-degenerative conditions, AD-mimics (e.g. hippocampal sclerosis or argyrophilic grain disease), and false negative scans.

Table
Demographic and clinical characteristics of the amyloid-negative AD patients by clinical phenotype subgroups

	All	Typical	Atypical	Aclassical
n	41	17	15	8
Age (mean±SD)	67.2±9.2	68.7±11.5	65.5±7.1	68.1±8.1
Education (mean±SD)	12.3±4.7	12.7±5.6	16.5±4.8	13.7±5.7
MMSE (mean±SD)	22.6±4.8	24.3±2.7	20.9±5.3	23.5±4.9
ApoE4 (n / n available)	5/27	3/14	1/6	1/6
Diagnosis change (n / n available)	22/34	6/15	10/12	5/6
Diagnosis when changed		FTD (n=4) DLB (n=1) Epilepsy-depression (n=1)	FTD (n=4) CBS (n=4) Primary progressive aphasia, no specific subtype (n=1) Non-fluent aphasia (n=1)	DLB (n=2) Unknown (n=3)

IC-P-010 CLINICALLY DIAGNOSED PROBABLE AD CASES WITH A NEGATIVE AMYLOID PET SCAN: CLINICAL FINDINGS

Gil Dan Rabinovici¹, Rik Ossenkoppele², Audrey Perrotin³, Vincent Dore⁴, William Jagust⁵, Femke H. Bouwman⁶, Renaud La Joie⁷, Victor L. Villemagne⁸, Bruce Miller¹, Wiesje M. Van der Flier⁹, Vincent de La Sayette¹⁰, Colin Louis Masters¹¹, Philip Scheltens¹², Bart van Berckel¹³, Christopher Cleon Rowe¹⁴, Gael Chetelat¹⁵, ¹UCSF Memory & Aging Center, San Francisco, California, United States; ²VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ³INSERM, Université de Caen Basse-Normandie, Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, CHU de Caen, Caen, France; ⁴CSIRO, Brisbane, Australia; ⁵University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, United States; ⁶Alzheimer Centre VU Medical Centre, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ⁷INSERM, Université de Caen, EPHE, CHU de Caen, U1077, Caen, France; ⁸Austin Health, Melbourne, Australia; ⁹VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ¹⁰Unité INSERM / EPHE / UCBN / CHU Caen U1077, Caen, Île-de-France, France; ¹¹Florey Institute, UoM, Parkville, Australia; ¹²VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ¹³VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ¹⁴Austin Hospital, Melbourne, Australia; ¹⁵Inserm U1077, Caen, France. Contact e-mail: grabinovici@memory.ucsf.edu

Background: 10%-20% of patients clinically diagnosed with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) in expert centers do not show high tracer retention on amyloid PET. The present study investigates the clinical and demographic features and longitudinal trajectory of this intriguing subgroup. **Methods:** 41 amyloid PET-negative patients carrying a pre-PET diagnosis of AD from 4 centers (Amsterdam, Melbourne, San Francisco, and Caen) were included in this study. Amyloid status was determined by visual reading and confirmed by quantitative analyses. Detailed clinical histories of all patients was collected, including the clinical diagnosis before and after results of the PET scan were known by the clinician, and at long term follow-up, when available. Patients were then classified according to their clinical phenotype as either typical (memory predominant), atypical (predominant language, visual or frontal symptoms), or aclassical (nonspecific presentation), both before and after the PET scan. **Results:** There were 17 typical, 15 atypical, and 8 aclassical AD-negative cases plus one unclassified. Subgroups didn’t differ in age, education or MMSE except that typical cases had higher

IC-P-011 COMPARING LIBERAL AND CONSERVATIVE THRESHOLDS FOR AMYLOID PET POSITIVITY IN AUTOPSY-PROVEN CASES

Gil Dan Rabinovici¹, Brendan I. Cohn-Sheehy², Bruce Reed³, Sylvia Villeneuve⁴, Pia Marie Ghosh⁵, Cindee Madison⁶, Manja Lehmann⁷, John Olchney⁸, Charles S. DeCarli⁹, Bruce L. Miller¹, Ewa Borys¹⁰, Lea Tenenholz Grinberg¹¹, Lee-Way Jin⁹, William W. Seeley¹, William J. Jagust⁴, ¹UCSF Memory & Aging Center, San Francisco, California, United States; ²Memory and Aging Center, University of California, San Francisco; Jagust Lab, University of California, Berkeley, California, United States; ³UC Davis, Davis, California, United States; ⁴University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, United States; ⁵UCSF Memory and Aging Center, San Francisco, California, United States; ⁶Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, Berkeley, California, United States; ⁷UCSF Memory & Aging Center, San Francisco, California, United States; ⁸University of California Davis, Davis, California, United States; ⁹University of California Davis, Sacramento, California, United States; ¹⁰Stritch School of Medicine, Loyola University, Maywood, Illinois, United

