



Figure 1b. Centiloids for 46 subjects obtained by linear regression through Figure 1a.

pipeline and determine average PiB SUVR anchor points for stereotypical YCN (n=34) and AD (n=45) subjects using data from the Global Alzheimer's Association Interactive Network (GAAIN). The second step was to employ linear regression to convert florbetapir and PiB SUVR to the Centiloid scale. **Results:** Replicate analysis of GAAIN PiB data resulted in mean SUVR for YCN (n=34) of 1.004 ± 0.048 and AD (n=45) of 2.067 ± 0.204 ($R^2 = 0.997$, slope 0.996, intercept -0.002). Our independent study showed that SUVR values (n=46) for florbetapir and PiB were correlated ($R^2 = 0.900$). The regression equating florbetapir SUVR by the Joshi et al method to PiB SUVR by the Klunk et al method was $y = 0.514x + 0.451$ and appeared to support a linear relationship between the two tracers (Figure 1). The average YCN SUVR for florbetapir (0.98 ± 0.07) and PiB (1.01 ± 0.03), were converted to 1.51 ± 12.06 and 0.32 ± 2.61 Centiloids, respectively. 74 YCN florbetapir scans from a previous study (Clark et al, 2011), with average SUVR of 0.96 ± 0.04 were converted to Centiloids using the same regression equations yielding an average of -1.60 ± 7.59 Centiloids. Applied to the same study, a threshold of approximately 22 Centiloids, 3 SD above the young controls, was optimal for distinguishing cases with neuropathologically verified no/sparse vs moderate to frequent plaques. **Conclusions:** These findings provide for conversion of florbetapir SUVR to Centiloids, potentially allowing improved tracer independent amyloid quantitation.

IC-P-023 DIFFERENTIAL ASSOCIATION OF BLOOD INSULIN AND HBA1C WITH CEREBRAL AMYLOID DEPOSITION AND REGIONAL GLUCOSE METABOLISM IN MIDDLE- AND OLD-AGED ADULTS

Min Soo Byun¹, Hyun Jung Kim¹, Dahyun Yi², Hyo Jung Choi¹, Hyewon Baek¹, Jun Ho Lee¹, Young Min Choe³, Bo Kyung Sohn⁴, Jee Wook Kim⁵, Younghwa Lee¹, Hyunwoong Ko¹, Na Young Han¹, Seung Hoon Lee¹, Kang Ko¹, Jong Inn Woo², Dong Young Lee¹, ¹Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, The Republic of Korea; ²Medical Research Center Seoul National University, Seoul, The Republic of Korea; ³Ulsan University Hospital, Ulsan, The Republic of Korea; ⁴SMG-SNU Boramae Medical Center, Seoul, The Republic of Korea; ⁵Hallym University Dongtan Sacred Hospital, Seoul, The Republic of Korea. Contact e-mail: bminsoo@gmail.com

Background: Preclinical studies indicated that insulin may activate beta-amyloid protein (A β) clearance through multiple pathways. Recently, a couple of studies have evaluated whether blood insulin or insulin resistance affect brain A β deposition in living human, the

results were controversial. We aimed to investigate the associations of blood insulin and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) with cerebral amyloid burden and regional cerebral glucose metabolism (rCMglu) in cognitively normal middle- and old-aged adults. **Methods:** One hundred and seventy-four cognitively normal middle- and old-aged adults (mean age = 68.9). Higher levels of blood insulin and HOMA-IR related to lower global PiB retention even after controlling age, gender, APOE4 status, and body mass index (BMI). **Results:** The relationships was much stronger in old-aged participants (age above 65 years) than in mid-aged ones. In addition, both blood insulin level and HOMA-IR were positively associated with rCMglu in the angular gyrus, hippocampus, and parahippocampal gyrus. In contrast, HbA1c and fasting blood glucose had no association with global PiB retention, but both were negatively associated with rCMglu in all the ROIs after controlling age, gender, APOE4 status, and BMI. **Conclusions:** Our results indicate that blood insulin itself may contribute to lowering cerebral A β deposition and maintaining brain function in cognitively normal middle- and old-aged adults, old-aged in particular, while higher blood glucose level may have negative influence on brain function through non-amyloid mechanism.

IC-P-024 PIB PET CENTILOID QUANTIFICATION USING CAPAIBL

Pierrick Bourgeat¹, Vincent Dore^{2,3}, David Ames⁴, Ralph N. Martins^{5,6}, Colin L. Masters⁷, Olivier Salvado¹, Victor L. Villemagne^{7,8}, Christopher C. Rowe², ¹CSIRO, Brisbane, Australia; ²Austin Health, Melbourne, Australia; ³CSIRO, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴National Ageing Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia; ⁵Sir James McCusker Alzheimer's Disease Research Unit (Hollywood Private Hospital), Perth, Australia; ⁶Edith Cowan University, Perth, Australia; ⁷The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, Melbourne, Australia; ⁸Department of Molecular Imaging and Therapy, Centre for PET, Austin Health, Heidelberg, Australia. Contact e-mail: Pierrick.Bourgeat@csiro.au

Background: The wide range of Amyloid PET tracers and analysis techniques used in clinical studies can lead to large variability in cut-off values and quantitative assessment of Amyloid load. The Centiloid scale was developed to normalise neocortical retention measured using different tracers and analysis methods. In this study, we evaluate using the Centiloid scale with the PET-only quantification method CapAIBL (capaibl-milxcloud.csiro.au). **Methods:** The 34 YC and 45 AD PiB PET calibration scans from the Centiloid level-1 study were quantified using CapAIBL, using the Centiloid template and reference regions. Additionally, 991 PiB PET scans (639 HC, 161 MCI, 166 AD and 25 others) from the AIBL study were processed using CapAIBL, and compared to the Centiloid values computed using the recommended SPM pipeline. **Results:** Using the calibration scans, the mean whole cerebellum (WC) SUVR values obtained using CapAIBL were within 2% of the published values, (AD:-0.88%, YC:-1.76%). The regression slope (0.99), intercept (0.27) and R2 (0.99) of the Centiloid transformed WC SUVR values were within the accepted range. Using CapAIBL on the AIBL images, the regression slope (0.98) and R2 (0.99) of the Centiloid transformed WC SUVR values (computed using the Centiloid calibration coefficients) were within the accepted range compared to the SPM derived Centiloid values. The intercept (2.03) was however marginally outside the accepted range (<2). Using the AD+ (SUVR>1.5) and HC- (SUVR<1.3) in AIBL, the mean whole cerebellum (WC) SUVR values were within the accepted range (<2%) for the AD+ (-1.13%), but marginally outside for the HC- (-2.70%). This resulted in a mean error in Centiloid values of -0.46% in the AD+